

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The replacement sheet for drawing sheet 2/6 includes two amendments. Reference numeral 40 has been added to FIGS. 3 and 5. The addition of reference numeral 40 finds support in the other figures and throughout the specification, for example on page 9, at indicated line 21.

The replacement sheet 4/6 for drawing sheet labels element 40 in FIG. 10.

The replacement sheet 5/6 for drawing sheet labels leakage conduit 50 in FIGS. 12, 13 and 14.

**REMARKS**Status of the Claims:

Claims 1 – 11 are pending. Claims 1, 5 – 7, and 10 have been allowed. Claims 2, 8, 9 and 11 are currently amended. Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating allowable subject matter as well as subject matter that would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 US 112.

Amendments to the Specification presented in this reply:

The amendments to the specification add no new matter.

Amendments I – IV merely insert section headings into the specification.

Amendment V finds support in Figures 3 and 12.

Amendment VI is primarily editorial in nature. The addition of reference numeral 40 finds support in the FIGs. 6 and 9 and the specification, for example on page 9, at indicated line 21. The filter had been labeled 24 and the cooler labeled 25 in the paragraph on page 8, lines 24 – 32.

Amendment VII adds reference numeral 40. This finds support in the FIGs. 6 and 9 and the specification, for example on page 9, at indicated line 21. Amendment VIII finds support in Figures 11 – 16.

Amendment XII states element 45 is a direct actuator. Element 45 is labeled an actuator to be consistent with the actuator language for element 30 of FIG. 12. Element 45 is termed a direct actuator to be consistent with the description of a similar looking element in FIG. 13 which Page 10, paragraph beginning at line 14, states is used to directly control the servo member 31. The direct actuator language is also supported by original claim 6. Element 37 of FIG. 10 is an actuator consistent with the actuator language for element 30 of FIG. 12. The aperture is labeled 40 consistent with FIG. 9.

Amendment IX finds support in the specification on page 8, lines 24 – 32 and in Figures 11 – 16.

Amendment X finds support in the specification on page 8, lines 24 – 32 and in Figures 12-14 as well as with at least some of the description being from that used for FIG. 3 at page 8, at indicated lines 24 – 32.

Amendment XI finds support in FIG. 16.

Claim Amendments presented in this reply:

The amendments to the claims add no new matter.

The amendment to claim 2 merely ensures proper antecedent basis with regard to the claimed circuit.

The amendment to claim 8 finds support in the specification on page 5, indicated lines 1 – 3.

The amendment to claim 9 makes the claim depend from claim 7 to provide proper antecedent support for the “charging pump.”

The amendment to claim 11 adopts the suggestion in the Office action to insert “hydraulic” before “motor” to avoid confusion. This amendment finds support in claim 1, from which claim 11 depends.

Replacement Drawing Sheets attached hereto:

The replacement sheet for drawing sheet 2/6 includes two amendments. Reference numeral 40 has been added to FIGS. 3 and 5. The addition of reference numeral 40 finds support in the other figures and throughout the specification, for example on page 9, at indicated line 21.

The replacement sheet for drawing sheet 4/6 labels element 40 in FIG. 10.

The replacement sheet for drawing sheet 5/6 labels leakage conduit 50 in FIGS. 12, 13 and 14.

The amendments to the drawings add no new matter.

Objections to the Specification:

The amendments to the specification render the objections to the specification moot.

Objections to the Drawings:

The replacement drawing sheets attached hereto and the amendments to the specification render the objections to the drawings moot.

Claim Rejections:

The Office action rejects claims 2 – 4, 8 – 9, and 11 under 35 U.S.C §112, second paragraph. The amendments to the claims render the rejections of the claims moot.

In Conclusion:

The present application is in condition for allowance. Applicants request favorable action in this matter. To facilitate the resolution of any issues or questions presented by this paper, the Examiner is welcome to contact the undersigned by phone to further the discussion.

NOVAK DRUCE + QUIGG, LLP  
1300 Eye St. N.W.  
Suite 1000 West  
Washington, D.C. 20005

Phone: (202) 659-0100  
Fax: (202) 659-0105

Respectfully submitted,  
NOVAK DRUCE + QUIGG, LLP

By: /anthony p venturino/

Anthony P. Venturino  
Registration No. 31,674

Date: Tuesday, April 22, 2008

Attorney Docket No.  
APV/MPB

Enclosures: Replacement drawing sheets